MATERIAL RESOURCES IN NURSING: A STUDY ON UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS' KNOWLEDGE ABOUT COSTS

Leila Maria Vieira Moreira¹ Sandra Oliveira Saes² Adriana Bini Doria³ Fábio Luiz de Campos Trentin⁴

¹ Assitant Professor; MS. Nursing Course – University of the Sacred Heart

² MS; - Phonoudiology Course - University of the Sacred Heart

³ Reg. Nurse; Clinic for Health Education -University of the Sacred Heart

⁴ Under-graduated student; Nursing Course – University of the Sacred Heart MOREIRA, Leila Maria Vieira et al. Material resources in nursin: a study on undergraduate students' knowledge about costs. *Salusvita*, Bauru, v. 21, n. 3, p. 27-33, 2003.

ABSTRACT

The aim of this study w as to investigate the knowledge concerning the unitary price of materials and equipments used in nursing practice. The practice of thirty students attending "Nursing Administration II", of the School of Nursing at Universidade do Sagrado Coração, in Bauru-SP, Brazil, in September, 2002, w as investigated. The data were obtained from a plan containing items used in or dinary nursing practice, materials that students have already handled in order to assist patients, and b lank spaces to be f illed out with each product price, which were later compared to the market price. Our results attested the students' unawareness about the material resources prices, pointing out the need for strategies to give undergraduate students the opportunity to analyse the cost of the material resources in the assisting process.

KEY WORDS: Cost, material, Nursing.

Received on: November 12, 2002 Accepted on: February 14, 2003

INTRODUCTION

Administration of material resources in health institutions is a main concern in nursing practice and has become an area of relevance in the professional practice.

According to Castilho and Leite (1991), material resources accounts for 75% of the organization capital and represents 30% to 40% of the cost expenses in health institutions.

The quality of ser vice, the diversity of material and equipments and the constant technolo gical updating are aspects that should be taken into consideration in the or ganization policies of material resources. Nursing ser vices uses most of these materials and, therefore, nurses should express an opinion on this issue, both regarding quality and sufficient quantity to fulf ill the needs of patients (MAEDA; CAMPEDELLI, 1991).

Taking this scenario into consideration, the in volvement of nurses in the policy of material and equipment acquisition should be precocious, i.e., in the undergraduation years. Teachers should advise not only in what concerns the use and applicability of resources, but also on costs.

According to Padilha (1990), besides the knowledge on hospital costs, nurses should orientate his/her team re garding the cost of assistance, preventing wastefulness and assuring the adequate use of resources. Romano and Veiga (1993) stress that is a role for nurses to systematize the nursing actions in the purchasing process, to establish minimal essential requisites for material and to elaborate records of the characteristics of quality for materials used in dif ferent departments.

Ortiz and Gaidzinsk (1999) conducted a study that re vealed that nurses are not a ware of the actual price of common use materials. Taking this result into account and moved by the need to prepare nursing students to face professional challenges, mainly in this specific area, the present study aims to identify the knowledge that students of the four y ears of the Nursing Course have on the costs of the most frequently used materials in nursing ser vices in health institutions. The authors understand that the education of undergraduate students should expand to interactive activities involving teachers, students, professionals, mark et operators, health institutions and research.

The present study involves Administration of Nursing Assistance and has as objective to study the knowledge of nursing undergraduate students on the costs of materials used in the assistance process, which is an issue rarely focused by research. By intervieMOREIRA, Leila Maria Vieira et al.

Material resources in nursin: a study on undergraduate students' knowledge about costs.

Salusvita, Bauru, v. 21, n. 3, p. 27-33, 2002.

MOREIRA, Leila Maria Vieira et al. Material resources in nursin: a study on undergraduate students' knowledge about costs. *Salusvita*, Bauru, v. 21, n. 3, p. 27-33, 2002. wing the students, it was investigated their estimate of unitary cost for materials used in the professional practice. It was also compared this estimation to the actual price in the mark et and strategies were sought to promote the knowledge related to material resources management in nursing.

METHODOLOGY

The study was conducted at the Uni versity of the Sacred Heart, Bauru-SP with a sample of 30 students enrolled in the subject of Nursing Administration II during the curricular 7th semester. Data were collected in September 2002.

Initially, 25 items were selected as materials used in the nursing practice at collective health units and that have already been used by students (FIGURE 2). The unit price was assessed and registered for each selected item in three mark et operators and the mean value was calculated.

Data collection form included the inventory number, age, sex and a spreadsheet with selected materials and a b lank to be filled with the unitary cost estimated by students.

Data was fed afterwards into a Microsoft Excel[®] spreadsheet for calculation of the mean v alue attributed by students for each item. Another value was the mean error, in absolute and relative values, calculated by subtraction of the mean mark et value from the value estimated by each student for each material. For this information it was not considered any sort of super or under estimation since if it was taken positively or negatively it would mischaracterize the real knowledge of the studied sample.

Data also allowed to verify the maximum and minimum value for each category and the number of students that o ver or underestimated each material.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Characterization of investigated students, according to se x and age, can be seen in T ABLE 1. The mean age was 24.86 years with a higher prevalence in the range of 18 to 25 years. 25 students (83.33%) were females and 5 (16.66%) males. The difference is probably due to usual tendency for nursing to be a woman's job.

In FIGURE 1 it can be seen the list of in vestigated materials, the mean market value, the mean estimated value and the mean error being this last expressed in absolute and relative values (in percentage). Results reveal that for all materials there were differences between the mean market value and the mean estimated value.

The mean error for values attributed to each material revealed the lack of knowledge of such aspect by students, since the values varied from 36.7% (crepe tape) to 933.87% (monitorization electrode).

Sex/ Age	18-25		26-30		31-35		Above 35		Total	
	Abs.	Rel.(%)	Abs.	Rel.(%)	Abs.	Rel.(%)	Abs.	Rel.(%)	Abs.	Rel.(%)
Fem.	19	76.00	2	8.00	2	8.00	2	80.00	25	100.00
Male.	3	60.00	1	20.00	0	0.00	1	20.00	5	100.00
Total	22	73.33	3	10.00	2	6.67	3	10.00	30	100.00

TABLE 1 - Sample characteristics according to sex and age.

The analysis of FIGURE 2 shows maximum (UL) and minimum (LL) values estimated for each material and re veal a marked disagreement among values being wheelchair the item with greatest difference (UL R\$ 800.00 and LL R\$ 40.00) confirming the lack of knowledge on costs of routine material in nursing practice. Consi dering data on o ver and underestimation, it can be seen that mot products are overestimated. It is interesting to note that in two items all the students overestimated their prices, which did not occur while underestimating values.

In this context, its is emphasized the impor tance of contents in nursing courses that de velop competences and induce commitment in future professions in what regards the policies related to the administration of material resources. Such proposal should be e xtended to other undergraduate courses promoting knowledge related to this area and resulting in better-qualified professionals to face the professional activity. Fernando and Gonçaves (1994) report that the experience with nurses w hile managing material resources has shown very positive results. MOREIRA, Leila Maria Vieira et al.

Material resources in nursin: a study on undergraduate students' knowledge about costs.

Salusvita, Bauru, v. 21, n. 3, p. 27-33, 2002.

MOREIRA, Leila Maria Vieira et al. Material resources in nursin: a study on undergraduate students' knowledge about costs. *Salusvita*, Bauru, v. 21, n. 3, p. 27-33, 2002. FIGURE 1 - Mean values (in Brasilian cur rency) for mark et prices, estimated price and mean error for each investigated material Mean error Mean Mean Material market estimated Absol. Relat. value (R\$) value (R\$) (R\$) (%) 38.18 Capillary glicemy tester 161.17 156.00 61.54 Oil can 250ml 1.06 2.52 1.73 163.21 Nebulizer Inhalation system 73.26 61.93 23.32 37.66 Adult sphygmomanomete w/ Velcrum 122.00 69.43 56.97 46.70 Children sphygmomanometer 49.41 pressure gauze w/ Velcrum 122.03 70.00 60.29 Crepe bandage 10cm 0.61 1.63 0.86 140.98 97.03 Wheelchair 125.20 215.06 121.48 Disposable material collector 7L. 2.84 5.20 3.34 117.61 Bed pan inox 33.43 58.38 66.60 87.66 Monitoring electrode w/micropore 5.79 933.87 0.62 6.23 594.34 Infusion set 0.53 3.60 3.15 102.43 Stetoscope 13.98 26.23 14.32 Crepe tape 2.10 1.83 0.77 36.67 Disposable surgical cap 0.55 0.42 262.50 0.16 Flashlight 10.61 15.12 11.30 106.50 Sterile surgical glove 0.90 1.46 0.74 82.22 38.07 Micropore 0.25mmx45m 3.52 3.76 1.34 Surgical mask 0.16 0.66 0.51 318.75 Sterile dressing -pack with 5 gauzes 275.86 0.29 1.04 0.80 Urinal (Inox) 45.92 28.23 26.38 57.45 Vein scalp set # 23 575.00 0.28 1.80 1.61 Disp. syringe 10ml without needle 0.26 0.72 0.47 180.77 Disp. syringe 5ml without needle 0.13 0.50 0.38 292.31 Clinical thermometer 2.50 5.69 3.23 129.20

17.61

18.71

9.70

55.08

Digital thermometer

	TT	т			
	Upper	Lower	Overestimation	Underestimation	
Material	Limit	Limit	(Number	(Number	
	(R\$)	(R\$)	of students)	of students)	
Capillary glicemy tester	300.00	20.00	17	13	
Oil can 250ml	21.00	0.20	17	13	
Nebulizer Inhalation system	350.00	25.00	13	17	
Adult sphygmomanometer					
w/ Velcrum	160.00	20.00	2	28	
Children sphygmomanometer					
pressure gauze w/ Velcrum	200.00	25.00	3	27	
Crepe bandage 10cm	5.00	0.20	19	11	
Wheelchair	800.00	40.00	19	11	
Disposable material					
collector 7L.	36.00	0.80	19	11	
Bed pan inox	150.00	5.00	1	29	
Monitoring electrode					
w/micropore	75.00	0.20	19	11	
Infusion set	16.00	0.20	27	3	
Stetoscope	90.00	15.00	30	0	
Crepe tape	5.00	0.50	8	22	
Disposable surgical cap	20.00	0.05	24	6	
Flashlight	120.00	1.90	15	15	
Sterile surgical glove	5.00	0.10	24	6	
Micropore 0.25mmx45m	10.00	1.00	15	15	
Surgical mask	3.50	0.05	27	3	
Sterile dressing – pack					
with 5 gauzes	3.00	0.10	26	4	
Urinal (Inox)	150.00	4.00	5	25	
Vein scalp set 23	12.00	0.30	30	0	
Disp. syringe 10ml					
without needle	3.70	0.10	28	2	
Disp. syringe 5ml					
without needle	1.50	0.10	27	3	
Clinical thermometer	30.00	2.00	29	1	
Digital thermometer	80.00	4.00	8	22	

FIGURE 2 - Upper and lower limit (in Brasilian currency) for each material and number of over and underestimation atributed by students.

MOREIRA, Leila Maria Vieira et al.

Material resources in nursin: a study on undergraduate students' knowledge about costs.

Salusvita, Bauru, v. 21, n. 3, p. 27-33, 2002.

CONCLUSIONS

The investigation on costs of materials used for nursing assistance revealed lack of a wareness by undergraduate students regarding costs of most products and , in many instances the price w as overestimated.

In order to modify this picture it is suggested to introduce in the curriculum of the course items that stress the importance of the knowledge of costs in the professional practice and, in addition, the MOREIRA, Leila Maria Vieira et al. Material resources in nursin: a study on undergraduate students' knowledge about costs. *Salusvita*, Bauru, v. 21, n. 3, p. 27-33, 2002. participation of students in the purchasing process of materials used in the assistance practice.

Such proposals will contribute to the participation of nurses, while working in institutions, should tak e active part in budgeting and in the continuous analysis of quality and costs.

BIBLIOGRAPHIC REFERENCES

- 1. CASTILHO, V.; LEITE, M. M. J. *A administração de recursos materiais na enfermagem*. In: KURCGANT, P. São Paulo: E. P. U., 1991. p. 73-88.
- 2. MAEDA, S. T.; CAMPEDELLI, M. C. Escalpe e equipo de soro: opinião dos enfermeiros quanto aos requisitos essenciais de qualidade. *Rev. Bras. Enferm.*, Brasília, v. 44, n. 2/3, p. 124-135, abr./set. 1991.
- 3. ORTIZ, D. C. F.; GAIDZINSKI, R. R. O custo do material de consumo na visão dos profissionais de enfermagem. *Nursing*, v. 18, p. 28-34, nov. 1999.
- 4. PADILHA, M. I. C. S. A qualidade da assistência de enfer magem e os custos hospitalares. *Rev. Hosp. Adm. Saúde,* v. 14, n. 3, p. 128-133, 1990.
- ROMANO, C.; VEIGA, K. Atuação da enfermagem no gerenciamento de recursos materiais em unidades de terapia intensi va (UTIs). *Rev. Bras. Enferm.*, Brasília, v. 51, n. 3, p. 485-492, jul./set. 1993.
- SILVA, S. H.; FERNANDES, R. A. Q.; GONÇALVES, V. L. M. A administração de recursos materiais: importância do enfoque de custo e a responsabilidade dos profissionais de saúde. *Rev. Bras. Enferm.*, Brasília, v. 47, n. 2, p.160-164, abr./jun.1994.